

Exhibit E

IBJ7TAR1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 -----x

4 MARY M. TARDIF,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 13 Civ. 4056 (KMW)

8 CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK
9 CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, et
10 al.,

11 Trial

12 Defendants.

13 -----x
14 New York, N.Y.
15 November 19, 2018
16 9:45 a.m.

17 Before:

18 HON. KIMBA M. WOOD,

19 District Judge

20 APPEARANCES

21 COMMUNITY LEGAL ASSISTANCE CORP.

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff

23 BY: STEFAN H. KRIEGER

24 - and -

25 LAW OFFICES OF KATHERINE E. SMITH

BY: KATHERINE E. SMITH

- and -

GIDEON O. OLIVER

NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT

Attorneys for Defendants

BY: BRACHAH GOYKADOSH

JOSHUA J. LAX

ALSO PRESENT: David Rankin, Esq.

IBJ7TAR7

1 (Jury not present)

2 THE COURT: Can the plaintiff leave so she can pick up
3 her children.

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. KRIEGER: We waive the presence of the plaintiff
6 during the voir dire.

7 THE COURT: You do also, Ms. Tardif?

8 THE PLAINTIFF: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you. You are free to go.

10 I need to make a final ruling on prior arrests.

11 Mr. Krieger has to make a record, and then we need to question
12 the photographer.

13 MR. KRIEGER: Yes, but could we have like a two minute
14 bathroom break?

15 THE COURT: Sure.

16 (Recess)

17 THE COURT: OK. Let me talk about arrests. First, I
18 think the initial offer with respect to arrests was that it
19 affected plaintiff's credibility, and then as your second
20 tranche it was that she knew all about seatbelts in patrol
21 wagons. Do you need a ruling on both or just on seatbelts?

22 MR. LAX: At this point I don't think we need a
23 ruling. I think we understand the Court's ruling.

24 THE COURT: Well, the Second Circuit might not. They
25 insist on very long 403 rulings.

IBJ7TAR7

1 MR. LAX: OK.

2 THE COURT: I'm sorry to bore you.

3 MR. LAX: We just didn't want to take the Court's
4 time.

5 THE COURT: I appreciate your desire to help me save
6 time.

7 With respect to the probative value of the plaintiff's
8 other Occupy Wall Street arrests or other arrests in general,
9 my view is that they've not been shown by defense counsel to
10 have any probative value other than the argument made with
11 respect to seatbelts. And, as we heard, the plaintiff
12 testified that she had no knowledge of seatbelts in patrol
13 vans.

14 My 403 ruling is that given the slight, if any,
15 relevance of her other arrests -- maybe I better hear counsel
16 on what was the probative value of her other arrests. I'm
17 sorry.

18 MR. LAX: Your Honor, I think we laid it out in our
19 submissions, so I think the record is clear, but just briefly
20 we were arguing under 404(b) that there was established
21 knowledge about the circumstances of what is and what is the
22 design and layout of the interior of a prison transport. We
23 were also asserting under 404(b) that because plaintiff's claim
24 throughout her testimony today and then in opening was that she
25 didn't know she was being arrested and she wasn't trying to

IBJ7TAR7

1 flee, we thought that that is appropriate rebuttal evidence
2 that since she had been arrested earlier in the day it would
3 give her a motive to try and resist and to try and flee. So
4 that was the 404 bases that we had asserted.

5 As we've said several times we also think because of
6 the nature of the emotional injuries she is claiming, that
7 those arrests were proper to rebut her claim for damages.

8 THE COURT: In other words, that she did not suffer
9 emotional damage from one arrest given that it was one among
10 many?

11 MR. LAX: I suppose in part that's true, but also just
12 the claim was that she was having nightmares about being
13 trapped and things like that, and that we believe sort of as a
14 Rule 608 type analysis the fact that she had been arrested on
15 subsequent occasions, sometimes I think there was testimony at
16 the deposition that it was once a month -- or once a week in
17 June -- and her entire attitude about it was probative of her
18 lack of emotional injury.

19 THE COURT: I have allowed in subsequent arrests.
20 What I was ruling out were prior arrests.

21 MR. LAX: OK.

22 THE COURT: You heard her testify about subsequent
23 arrests.

24 MS. GOYKADOSH: Sorry, your Honor. That wasn't clear
25 to me. I thought I wasn't allowed to talk about any arrests.

IBJ7TAR7

1 She did testify about going to protests, but I didn't ask her
2 about any arrests after, because I thought --

3 THE COURT: You're free to do that if you wish to.

4 MS. GOYKADOSH: Thank you, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: She can be recalled if you wish to do
6 that.

7 All right. I understand your arguments with respect
8 to relevance. I think you have made a moderately good showing
9 on that front, but that the prejudice from a jury hearing how
10 often she had been arrested I think makes her look like a
11 recidivist criminal, and hence I rule it out under Rule 403 as
12 being unduly and unfairly prejudicial.

13 OK. Mr. Krieger, you wanted to make your record.

14 MS. SMITH: Yes, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Or shall we have the photographer?

16 MS. SMITH: May I with respect to the arrests? Is it
17 your Honor's ruling that an arrest subsequent to what point are
18 permissible for counsel to inquire?

19 THE COURT: Well, I have to hear what people want.
20 First I have to hear what the proffer is, and then I will rule.

21 MS. SMITH: Because --

22 THE COURT: There is a different rationale for
23 subsequent arrests.

24 MS. SMITH: Understood. I do believe that still with
25 respect to the line of case law that counsel has cited as to

IBJ7TAR7

1 its relevancy, because we're not claiming emotional pain and
2 suffering associated with false arrests, that subsequent
3 arrests would still be unduly prejudicial. The same rationale
4 your Honor cited with respect to this implication of a
5 recidivist criminal would certainly hold true for arrests after
6 this incident.

7 THE COURT: Well, the difference is -- excuse me for
8 interrupting, but the difference is is her willingness to
9 sustain subsequent arrests suggests that she's willing to
10 undergo the same amount of pain again and again willingly, in
11 other words, she is prepared to be arrested again and
12 presumably night terrors and nightmares might get worse. I
13 will hear you on it when I hear the proffer. I think she
14 should get the photographer in now. Who would like to get her?

15 MR. LAX: I think she is in the back.

16 THE COURT: Oh, please come forward. You call?

17 MR. LAX: At this time defense calls Stacy Lanyon for
18 voir dire purposes.

19 THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Lanyon, please raise your
20 right hand.

21 (.

22 STACEY LANYON,

23 called as a witness by the defendant,

24 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

25 THE COURT: Please have a seat. Please state your